arXiv:0803.0620v1 [gr-qc] 5 Mar 2008

THE SIMPLEST DETERMINATION OF THE THERMODYNAMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SCHWARZSCHILD, KERR ANDREISSNER-NORDSTRÖM BLACK HOLE

Vladan Panković*,♯,Jovan Ivanović♯,
Milan Predojević *,♯, Aleksandar - Meda Radaković♯
*Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4. , Serbia, vdpan@neobee.net
♯Gimnazija, 22320 Indjija, Trg Slobode 2a, Serbia

PACS number : 04.70.Dy

Abstract

In this work, generalizing our previous results, we determine in an original and the simplest way three most important thermodynamical characteristics (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Bekenstein quantization of the entropy or (outer) horizon surface area and Hawking temperature) of Schwarzschild, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black hole. We start physically by assumption that circumference of black hole (outer) horizon holds the natural (integer) number of corresponding reduced Compton's wave length and use mathematically only simple algebraic equations. (It is conceptually similar to Bohr's quantization postulate in Bohr's atomic model interpreted by de Broglie relation.)

In our previous work [1] we determined in an original and simplest way important thermodynamical characteristics of Schwarzschild black hole. In this new work, generalizing our previous results, we shall determine in analogous and the simplest way three most important thermodynamical characteristics (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Bekenstein quantization of the entropy or (outer) horizon surface area and Hawking temperature [2]-[8]) of Schwarzschild, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black hole. We shall start physically by assumption that circumference of black hole (outer) horizon holds the natural (integer) number of corresponding reduced Compton's wave length and use mathematically only simple algebraic equations. (It is conceptually similar to Bohr's quantization postulate in Bohr's atomic model interpreted by de Broglie relation.)

Consider a black hole with mass M and surface area of the outer horizon

$$A_+ = 8\pi R_g R_+ = (8\pi(1+\sin\alpha)\frac{G^2M^2}{c^4}) \tag{1}$$

with radius

$$R_+ = R_g(1 + \sin\alpha) \tag{2}$$

where

$$R_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}. \tag{3}$$

For

$$\cos\alpha = \frac{1}{R_g}\frac{J}{Mc} = \frac{Jc}{M^2G} \tag{4}$$

given black hole represents Kerr black hole with angular momentum J. Especially for $J = 0$ given black hole represents Schwarzschild black hole.

For

$$\cos\alpha = \frac{1}{R_g}\frac{Q}{c^2}(\frac{G}{4\pi\epsilon_0})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{Q}{M(G4\pi\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5}$$

given black hole represents Reissner-Nordström black hole with electrical charge Q where ϵ_0 represents vacuum dielectric constant. Especially, for $Q = 0$ given black hole represents Schwarzschild black hole.

Angular momentum J represents a variable independent of M. But, we can formally present J as a function of M. Namely, since $\cos\alpha$ must be smaller or equivalent to 1, J according to (4) must satisfy the following inequality

$$J \leq \frac{R_g}{Mc} = \frac{GM^2}{c}. \tag{6}$$

It admits that J can be formally presented by

$$J = R_gMc\cos\alpha = GM^2\frac{\cos\alpha}{c}. \tag{7}$$

Given expression represents, of course, an identity $J = J$ but formally (7) can be considered as a function of M proportional to M^2 where $\cos\alpha$ can be considered as a parameter. Then formally differentiation of (7) yields

$$dJ = 2GM\frac{\cos\alpha}{c}dM. \tag{8}$$

As it will be shown later given formal procedure similarly to renormalization procedure in quantum field theory, implies correct physical results.

Analogously, electrical charge Q represents a variable independent of M. But, we can formally present Q as a function of M. Namely, since $\cos\alpha$ must be smaller or equivalent to 1, Q, according to (5), must satisfy the following inequality

$$Q \leq \frac{R_g}{(\frac{G}{4\pi\epsilon_0c^4})^{\frac{1}{2}}} = M(4\pi\epsilon_0G)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{9}$$

It admits that Q^2 can be formally presented by

$$Q = R_g(\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0c^4}{G})^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos\alpha = M(4\pi\epsilon_0G)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos\alpha \tag{10}$$

that formally can be considered as a function of M proportional to M where $\cos\alpha$ can be considered as a parameter. Then, formally, differentiation of (10) yields

$$dQ = (4\pi\epsilon_0G)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos\alpha dM. \tag{11}$$

As it will be shown later, given formal procedure similarly to renormalization procedure in quantum field theory, implies correct physical results.

Suppose the following expression

$$M_{+n}cR_{+} = n\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{12}$$

that implies

$$2\pi R_{+} = n\frac{\hbar}{m_{+n}c}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{13}$$

Here $2\pi R_{+}$ represents the circumference of the outer horizon while

$$\lambda_{r+n} = \frac{\hbar}{m_{+n}c} \tag{14}$$

represents $n-th$ reduced Compton wavelength of a quantum system with mass m_{+n} captured at black hole outer horizon for $n = 1, 2, ...$.

Expression (12) simply means that *circumference of the black hole outer horizon holds exactlyn corresponding n-th reduced Compton wave lengths of quantum systems for* $n = 1, 2, ...$. Obviously, it is conceptually similar to well-known Bohr's quantization postulate interpreted by de Broglie relation (according to which circumference of n-th electron circular orbit contains exactly n corresponding n-th de Broglie wave lengths, for $n = 1, 2, ...$).

According to (12) it follows

$$m_{+n} = n\frac{\hbar}{2\pi cR_{+}} = n\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi GM(1+\sin\alpha)} \equiv nm_{+1}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{15}$$

where

$$m_{+1} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi GM(1+\sin\alpha)} = \frac{M_P}{M}\frac{M_P}{2\pi(1+\sin\alpha)}. \tag{16}$$

Obviously, m_{+1} depends of M so that m_{+1} decreases when M increases and vice versa. For a macroscopic black hole, i.e. for $M \gg M_P$ it follows $m_{+1} \ll M_P$.

According to (16)

$$\sigma_{+} = \frac{M}{m_{+1}} = 2\pi(1+\sin\alpha)\frac{GM^2}{\hbar c}. \tag{17}$$

After multiplication of (17) by Boltzmann constant k_B, it follows

$$S_{BH+} = k_B\sigma_{+} = 2\pi(1+\sin\alpha)k_B\frac{GM^2}{\hbar c} = k_B\frac{A_{+}}{(2L_P)^2} \tag{18}$$

that represents Bekenstein-Hawking entropy S_{BH+} .

Differentiation of (18), under formal supposition that $\sin\alpha$ does not represent a function of M (even if, exactly speaking, according to (4), (5), $\sin\alpha$ depends of M) yields

$$dS_{BH+} = k_B d\sigma_{+} = 4\pi(1+\sin\alpha)k_B\frac{GM}{\hbar c}dM \tag{19}$$

or, in a corresponding finite difference form

$$\Delta S_{BH+} = k_B\Delta\sigma_{+} = 4\pi(1+\sin\alpha)k_B\frac{GM}{\hbar c}\Delta M \quad \text{for} \quad \Delta M \ll M. \tag{20}$$

Further, we shall assume

$$\Delta M = nm_{+1} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{21}$$

which, after substituting in (20), yields

$$\Delta S_{BH+} = k_B 2n \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{22}$$

that represents Bekenstein quantization of the black hole entropy. It, according to (18), implies

$$\Delta A_{+} = 2n(2L_P)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{23}$$

that represents Bekenstein quantization of the black hole surface.

Now we shall consider first thermodynamical law for Kerr black hole

$$dE = T_{+} dS_{+} + \Omega_{+} dJ \tag{24}$$

where

$$E = Mc^2 \tag{25}$$

represents the black hole energy, and,

$$\Omega_{+} = \frac{c \cos\alpha}{2R_g(1 + \sin\alpha)} = \frac{c^3 \cos\alpha}{2MG(1 + \sin\alpha)} \tag{26}$$

- outer horizon rotation rate. Introduction of (25), (19), (26), (8) in (24) yields

$$c^2 dM = T_{+} 4\pi (1 + \sin\alpha) k_B \frac{GM}{\hbar c} dM + \frac{c^3 \cos\alpha}{2MG(1 + \sin\alpha)} \frac{2GM \cos\alpha}{c} dM \tag{27}$$

which, after simple calculations, yields

$$T_{+} = \hbar c^3 \frac{\sin\alpha}{4\pi (1 + \sin\alpha) k_B GM} \tag{28}$$

that represents Hawking temperature for Kerr black hole.

Now we shall consider first thermodynamical law for Reissner-Nordström black hole

$$dE = T_{+} dS_{+} + V_{+} dQ \tag{29}$$

where

$$V_{+} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_{+}} = \frac{Qc^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 MG(1 + \sin\alpha)} \tag{30}$$

represents the electrostatic potential at black hole outer horizon. Introduction of (25), (19), (30), (11) in (29) yields

$$c^2 dM = T_{+} 4\pi (1 + \sin\alpha) k_B \frac{GM}{\hbar c} dM + \frac{Qc^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 MG(1 + \sin\alpha)} ((4\pi\epsilon_0 G)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\alpha) dM \tag{31}$$

which, after simple calculations, yields

$$T_{+} = \hbar c^3 \frac{\sin\alpha}{4\pi (1 + \sin\alpha) k_B GM} \left(1 - \frac{Q \cos\alpha}{(4\pi\epsilon_0 G)^{\frac{1}{2}} M (1 + \sin\alpha)}\right) \tag{32}$$

that represents Hawking temperature for Reissner-Nordström black hole.

In this way we have reproduced, i.e. determined exactly, in the simplest way, three most important thermodynamical characteristics of Schwarzschild, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black hole: Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (18), Bekenstein quantization of the black hole entropy (22) or Bekenstein quantization of the black hole surface area (23), and, Hawking temperature (28), (32).

1 References

[1] V.Pankovic, M.Predojevic, P.Grujic, *A Bohr's Semiclassical Model of the Black Hole Thermodynamics*, gr-qc/0709.1812

[2] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev., **D7**, (1973), 2333.

[3] S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys., **43**, (1975), 199

[4] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev., **D14**, (1976), 2460.

[5] S. W. Hawking, in *General Relativity, an Einstein Centenary Survay*, eds. S. W. Hawking, W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK 1979)

[6] R. M. Wald, *Black Hole and Thermodynamics*, gr-qc/9702022

[7] R. M. Wald, *The Thermodynamics of Black Holes*, gr-qc/9912119

[8] D. N. Page, *Hawking Radiation and Black Hole Thermodynamics*, hep-th/0409024